
amendment to such a bill or resolution.³⁸⁴

³⁸⁴ For examples of applications of the point of order under section 306, see, e.g., 138 CONG. REC. S15,001-18 (daily ed. Sept. 25, 1992) (Smith motion to waive Sasser point of order rejected 36-58 regarding his amendment on a tax-form check-off to trigger deficit reductions or sequesters); *id.* at S14,915-19 (daily ed. Sept. 24, 1992) (McCain motion to waive a Sasser point of order rejected 32 to 60 regarding his amendment no. 3172 to require a supermajority to raise taxes and remove the supermajority requirement to cut taxes); *id.* at S2457-78 (daily ed. Feb. 27, 1992) (McCain motion to waive Sasser point of order rejected 44-54 regarding his amendment no. 1698 to enhance the President's rescission authority); 136 CONG. REC. S8197 (daily ed. June 19, 1990) (the Senate agreed to a Cranston unanimous consent request to waive points of order regarding a Heinz amendment regarding congressional action to remove Social Security trust funds from the definition of the deficit); *id.* at S7457-78 (daily ed. June 6, 1990) (McCain motion to waive rejected 43-50 regarding his amendment no. 1995 regarding enhancing the President's rescission authority); 135 CONG. REC. S15,336-58 (daily ed. Nov. 9, 1989) (Coats motion to waive rejected 40-51 regarding his amendment no. 1092 regarding enhancing the President's rescission authority); 133 CONG. REC. S11,025 (daily ed. July 31, 1987) (Conrad motion to waive rejected 36-53 regarding his amendment no. 651 to H.J. Res. 324, Increase in the Public Debt Limit); *id.* at S10,584 (daily ed. July 23, 1987) (Domenici motion to waive rejected 47-49 regarding his amendment no. 631 to H.J. Res. 324, Increase in the Public Debt Limit, to revise Gramm-Rudman-Hollings); *id.* at S10,579 (daily ed. July 23, 1987) (Chiles motion to waive approved 71-25 regarding his modified amendment no. 626 to H.J. Res. 324, Increase in the Public Debt Limit, to revise Gramm-Rudman-Hollings); 129 CONG. REC. S3587-603 (1983); Senate Precedent PRL19830322-002 (Mar. 22, 1983) (LEGIS, Rules database) (Heinz motion to waive tabled 56-41 regarding his amendment to remove Social Security trust funds from the unified budget).

Three sources provide the basis for the Budget Committee's jurisdiction. First, section 102(a) amended rule XXV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, which now reads as follows (in relevant part):

(e)(1) Committee on the Budget, to which committee shall be referred all concurrent resolutions on the budget (as defined in section 3(a)(4) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974) and all other matters required to be referred to that committee under titles III and IV of that Act, and messages, petitions, memorials, and other matters relating thereto.

(2) Such committee shall have the duty —

(A) to report the matters required to be reported by it under titles III and IV of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974;

(B) to make continuing studies of the effect on budget outlays of relevant existing and proposed legislation and to report the results of such studies to the Senate on a recurring basis;

(continued...)