
amendment to an amendment, debatable motion,³⁴⁹ or appeal³⁵⁰ shall be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided between, and controlled by, the mover and the manager of

³⁴⁹ When a Senator makes a motion to waive under section 904(b) during the consideration of a reconciliation bill, debate is limited to one hour, as specified by section 305(b) and applied to reconciliation by section 310(e). 131 CONG. REC. S14,011 (1985); Senate Precedent PRL19851024-002 (Oct. 24, 1985) (LEGIS, Rules database).

The motion is subject to a motion to table when that time has expired or been yielded back. *Id.*

Any motion in the Senate must be submitted in writing upon the request of any Senator. *Id.*

A Senator must control time or have time yielded to him to make a parliamentary inquiry when the Senate is considering a matter under controlled debate time. *Id.*

Compare the similar provisions for control and division of time on motions to recommit in paragraph (5), which do not provide for time for the minority leader. See *infra* p. 137.

Despite the legislative language of this paragraph and paragraph (5), the Chair once responded to an inquiry that time for debate on a motion to recommit during consideration of a reconciliation bill was controlled by and evenly divided between the mover of the recommitment motion and the majority leader. 128 CONG. REC. S8705 (1982); Senate Precedent PRL19820720-003 (July 20, 1982) (LEGIS, Rules database) (inquiry by Majority Leader Baker during debate on the Reconciliation Tax Act of 1982). As section 310(e)(1) applies the provisions of section 305(b)(2), and, more particularly, section 305(b)(5), to reconciliation bills, section 305(b)(5) indicates that the Chair should have responded that time was to be equally divided between, and controlled by, the mover and the manager of the reconciliation bill.

³⁵⁰ Compare the similar provisions for control and division of time on appeals in section 904(d), which do not provide for time for the minority leader. See *infra* p. 366.

Despite the legislative language of this paragraph and section 904(d), the Chair once responded to an inquiry that time for debate on an appeal from the ruling of the Chair during consideration of a reconciliation bill was controlled by and evenly divided between the Senator who made the appeal and the majority leader. 128 CONG. REC. S8702-03, S8705 (1982); Senate Precedent PRL19820720-003 (July 20, 1982) (LEGIS, Rules database) (inquiry by the manager, Senator Packwood, during debate on the Reconciliation Tax Act of 1982). As section 310(e)(1) applies the provisions of section 305(b)(2) to reconciliation bills, section 305(b)(2) indicates that the Chair should have responded that time was to be equally divided between, and controlled by, the Senator who made the appeal and the manager of the reconciliation bill, except that in the event that the manager favored the appeal, the minority leader or the minority leader's designee would control the time in opposition.